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## Background

- Uncertain power supply in Japan by
- East Japan Earthquake Disaster
- Accident of the Fukushima Nuclear Power Station
- Influence on train operation
- 15\% reduction of energy
- reduced number of trains
- lack of robustness
- Need of countermeasures
- studying them in advance


## Energy Savings in Train

## Operation


regular time
flat-out time
-Eco-driving -optimization of train speed profiles for each interstation

- Eco-scheduling - optimization of distribution of slack times for every interstations


## Objectives

- comparing some countermeasures of train timetabling against such power shortage quantitatively
- by macroscopic simulation
- evaluation of schedule by
- energy saving
- passenger disutility
- (peak power shaving)
- need of microscopic simulation
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## Four Major

## Countermeasures


strategy 0: reduced number of cars per train

strategy 1: curtailed train service

strategy 2: reduced number of stops

strategy 3: slow down

## Qualitative evaluation

|  | strategy 0 | strategy 1 | strategy 2 | strategy 3 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | reduced number <br> of cars per train | curtailed train <br> service | reduced number <br> of stops | slow down |
| peak power | very good | fair | fair | fair |
| energy | very good | good | very good | very good |
| car scheduling | bad | good | very good | fair |
| crew scheduling | very good | good | very good | fair |
| transport capacity | fair | fair | very good | good |
| passenger utility | good | bad | fair | fair |
| easiness of passen- <br> ger guidance | good | good | bad | good |
| robustness against <br> train delay | good | good | good | fair |
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## Energy-saving (Eco) Train Scheduling

- Total trip time $T_{S}$ is given as a constant.
- Runtime for $i$-th interstation $T_{i}$ is a variable.
- by adjusting slack time
- The minimal energy consumption is solved by varying the $T_{i}$.



## Formulation with Nonlinear Programming

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underset{\sim}{\left(T_{1}, \cdots, T_{N}\right)} \\
& \text { total energy }
\end{aligned} \quad=\quad \sum_{i=1}^{N} W_{i}\left(T_{i}\right) \rightarrow \min
$$

## consumption

$$
\text { subject to } \quad \sum_{i=1}^{N} T_{i}=T_{S}
$$

Applying Lagrange multiplier technique

$$
\begin{aligned}
L\left(T_{1}, \cdots, T_{N}, \lambda\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{N} W_{i}\left(T_{i}\right)+\lambda\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} T_{i}-T_{S}\right) \\
\frac{\partial L}{\partial T_{i}}=\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} & =0 \quad(i=1,2, \cdots, N)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Derived Law

$$
\frac{\partial W_{1}}{\partial T_{1}}=\frac{\partial W_{2}}{\partial T_{2}}=\cdots=\frac{\partial W_{N}}{\partial T_{N}}=-\lambda
$$



## Law of Identical Incremental Energy Consumption

If incremental energy for all interstations are identical, the schedule is optimal.

## Passenger Trip Times

- giving number of passengers for each Origin-Destination (OD) pair
- evaluating the following items
- waiting time at a station assuming uniform passenger arrival
- running time between O and D
- (transfer time)
- sum of total times for all passengers
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## Assumed Conditions



## with/without Skips



## Optimized Runtimes




## Comparative Results


curtailed trains
S1-1: 1/12 curtailed
S1-2: 2/12 curtailed
S1-3: 3/12 curtailed
reduced stops
S2-1: 2/12 passing B
S2-2: 4/12 passing B
S2-3: 6/12 passing B
slow down
S3-1: round trip+20[s]
S3-2: round trip +40 [s]
S3-3: round trip+6o[s]

## Discussion

- Trade-off between energy consumption and trip times can be found.
- Curtailed train service (Strategy l) had much higher increase of trip times than other strategies.
- Reduced train stops (Strategy 2) and slow down (Strategy 3) had very similar characteristics.
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## Summary

- Emergent scheduling under restricted energy supply
- some countermeasures compared
- energy consumption
- passenger trip times
- "reduced number of stops" and "slow down" preferable
- Future scope
- considering peak power, etc.
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